1 **CLAIMS LISTING** 2 3 1. (currently amended) A method for optimizing a wireless electromagnetic 4 communications network, comprising: 5 a wireless electromagnetic communications network, comprising 6 a set of nodes, said set of nodes further comprising, 7 at least a first subset wherein each node is MIMO-capable, 8 comprising: 9 an antennae array of \underline{M} antennae, where $\underline{M} \ge$ one, 10 a transceiver for each antenna in said spatially diverse 11 antennae array, 12 means for digital signal processing to convert analog radio 13 signals into digital signals and digital signals into analog 14 radio signals, 15 means for coding and decoding data, symbols, and control 16 information into and from digital signals, 17 diversity capability means for transmission and reception of 18 said analog radio waves signals, 19 and, 20 means for input and output from and to a non-radio 21 interface for digital signals; 22 said set of nodes being deployed according to design rules that prefer 23 meeting the following criteria: 24 said set of nodes further comprising two or more proper subsets of 25 nodes, with a first proper subset being the transmit uplink / receive 26 downlink set, and a second proper subset being the transmit 27 downlink / receive uplink set; 28 each node in said set of nodes belonging to no more transmitting 29 uplink or receiving uplink subsets than it has diversity capability 30 means;

| 31 | each node in a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset has no | |
|----|---|--|
| 32 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident | |
| 33 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability | |
| 34 | means; | |
| 35 | each node in a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset has no | |
| 36 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident | |
| 37 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability | |
| 38 | means; | |
| 39 | each member of a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset cannot | |
| 40 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any | |
| 41 | other member of that transmit uplink / receive downlink subset; | |
| 42 | and, | |
| 43 | each member of a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset cannot | |
| 44 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any | |
| 45 | other member of that transmit downlink / receive uplink subset; | |
| 46 | transmitting, in said wireless electromagnetic communications network, | |
| 47 | independent information from each node belonging to a first proper subset, to one | |
| 48 | or more receiving nodes belonging to a second proper subset that are viewable | |
| 49 | from the transmitting node; | |
| 50 | processing independently, in said wireless electromagnetic communications | |
| 51 | network, at each receiving node belonging to said second proper subset, | |
| 52 | information transmitted from one or more nodes belonging to said first proper | |
| 53 | subset; | |
| 54 | and, | |
| 55 | dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper | |
| 56 | subsets to optimize said network. | |
| 57 | | |
| 58 | | |
| 59 | 2. (currently amended) A method for optimizing a wireless electromagnetic | |
| 60 | communications network, comprising: | |
| 61 | a wireless electromagnetic communications network, comprising | |

| 62 | a set of nodes, said set of nodes further comprising, | |
|----|--|--|
| 63 | at least a first subset wherein each node is MIMO-capable, | |
| 64 | comprising: | |
| 65 | a spatially diverse antennae array of M antennae, where | |
| 66 | $M \underline{M} \ge two$, | |
| 67 | a transceiver for each antenna in said spatially diverse | |
| 68 | antennae array, | |
| 69 | means for digital signal processing to convert analog radio | |
| 70 | signals into digital signals and digital signals into analog | |
| 71 | radio signals, | |
| 72 | means for coding and decoding data, symbols, and control | |
| 73 | information into and from digital signals, | |
| 74 | diversity capability means for transmission and reception of | |
| 75 | said analog radio waves signals, | |
| 76 | and, | |
| 77 | means for input and output from and to a non-radio | |
| 78 | interface for digital signals; | |
| 79 | said set of nodes being deployed according to design rules that prefer | |
| 80 | meeting the following criteria: | |
| 81 | said set of nodes further comprising two or more proper subsets of | |
| 82 | nodes, with a first proper subset being the transmit uplink / receive | |
| 83 | downlink set, and a second proper subset being the transmit | |
| 84 | downlink / receive uplink set; | |
| 85 | each node in said set of nodes belonging to no more transmitting | |
| 86 | uplink or receiving uplink subsets than it has diversity capability | |
| 87 | means; | |
| 88 | each node in a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset has no | |
| 89 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident | |
| 90 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability | |
| - | | |
| 91 | means; | |

92 each node in a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset has no 93 more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident 94 communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability 95 means; 96 each member of a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset cannot 97 hold time and frequency coincident communications with any 98 other member of that transmit uplink / receive downlink subset; 99 and. 100 each member of a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset cannot 101 hold time and frequency coincident communications with any 102 other member of that transmit downlink / receive uplink subset; 103 transmitting, in said wireless electromagnetic communications network, 104 independent information from each node belonging to a first proper subset, to one 105 or more receiving nodes belonging to a second proper subset that are viewable 106 from the transmitting node; 107 processing independently, in said wireless electromagnetic communications 108 network, at each receiving node belonging to said second proper subset, 109 information transmitted from one or more nodes belonging to said first proper 110 subset: 111 and, 112 dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper 113 subsets to optimize said network. 114 115 116 3. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the 117 diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network 118 further comprises: 119 using substantive null steering to minimize SINR between nodes transmitting and 120 receiving information. 121

١,

| 123 | 4. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 124 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | | |
| 125 | further comprises: | | |
| 126 | using max-SINR null- and beam-steering to minimize intra-network interference. | | |
| 127 | | | |
| 128 | | | |
| 129 | 5. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the | | |
| 130 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | | |
| 131 | further comprises: | | |
| 132 | using MMSE null- and beam-steering to minimize intra-network interference. | | |
| 133 | · | | |
| 134 | | | |
| 135 | 6. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the | | |
| 136 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | | |
| 137 | further comprises: | | |
| 138 | | | |
| 139 | designing the network such that reciprocal symmetry exists for each pairing of | | |
| 140 | uplink receive and downlink receive proper subsets. | | |
| 141 | | | |
| 142 | 7. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the | | |
| 143 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | | |
| 144 | further comprises: | | |
| 145 | | | |
| 146 | designing the network such that substantial reciprocal symmetry exists for each | | |
| 147 | pairing of uplink receive and downlink receive proper subsets. | | |
| 148 | | | |
| 149 | 8. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the network uses TDD communication | | |
| 150 | protocols. | | |
| 151 | , | | |
| 152 | 9. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the network uses FDD communication | | |
| 153 | protocols. | | |

155 10. (original) A method as in claim 3, wherein the network uses simplex communication protocols.

157

158 11. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the network uses random access packets,

and receive and transmit operations are all carried out on the same frequency channels for

160 each link.

161

162 12. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the

diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network

164 further comprises

165

166

if the received interference is spatially white in both link directions, setting

167
$$g_1(aq) \propto w^*_2 q$$
 and $g_2(q) \propto w^*_1(q)$

168 $\mathbf{g}_2(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_2^*(q)$ and $\mathbf{g}_1(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_1^*(q)$ at both ends of the link,

169 where
$$\{g_2(q), w_1(q)\}$$

170 $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q), \mathbf{w}_1(q)\}$ are the linear transmit and receive weights used in the

171 downlink;

172

but if the received interference is not spatially white in both link directions,

174 constraining $\{g_1(q)\}$ and $\{g_2(q)\}$ $\{g_1(q)\}$ and $\{g_2(q)\}$ to

175 preferentially satisfy:

176177

$$Q_{21}$$
 N_{\downarrow}

179
$$q=1$$
 $n=1$

181 Q₁₂
$$N_2$$
 N_2

182 N_2 N_2

183 N_2 N_3

184 N_4

185 N_4 N_4

network such that substantial reciprocal symmetry exists for the uplink and downlink

205

206

channels further comprises:

if the received interference is spatially white in both link directions, setting $g_1(aq) \propto W^*_2q$ and $g_2(q) \propto W^*_1(q)$ $\mathbf{g}_2(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_2^*(q)$ and $\mathbf{g}_1(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_1^*(q)$ at both ends of the link, where $\{\mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{w}_1(\mathbf{q})\}$ $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q), \mathbf{w}_1(q)\}$ are the linear transmit and receive weights used in the downlink; but if the received interference is not spatially white in both link directions, constraining $\{\mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{q})\}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{q})\}$ $\{\mathbf{g}_1(q)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q)\}$ to preferentially satisfy: $\sum_{q} g^{T}_{1}(q) R_{1111} [n_{1}(q)] g^{*}_{1}(q) = \sum_{q} Tr \{R_{1111}(n)\} = M_{1}R_{1}$ Θ_{12} N_2 $\sum_{g} g^{T}_{2}(q) R_{i2i2} [n_{2}(q)] g^{*}_{2}(q) = \sum_{g} Tr \{R_{i2i2} \square (n)\} =$ M_2R_2 $\sum_{q=1}^{\mathcal{Q}_{21}} \mathbf{g}_{1}^{T}(q) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n_{1}(q)) \mathbf{g}_{1}^{*}(q) = \sum_{q=1}^{N_{1}} \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n)\} = M_{1}R_{1}$

230
$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q_{12}} \mathbf{g}_{2}^{T}(q) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n_{2}(q)) \mathbf{g}_{2}^{*}(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n)\} = M_{2}R_{2}$$

232

233 17. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the means for digital signal processing in 234 said first subset of MIMO-capable nodes further comprises:

235236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

an ADC bank for downconversion of received RF signals into digital signals; a MT DEMOD element for multitone demodulation, separating the received signal into distinct tones and splitting them into 1 through $\frac{1}{K}$ K_{feed} FDMA channels, said separated tones in aggregate forming the entire baseband for the transmission, said MT DEMOD element further comprising

a Comb element with a multiple of 2 filter capable of operating on a 128bit sample; and,

an FFT element with a 1,024 real-IF function;

a Mapping element for mapping the demodulated multitone signals into a 426 active receive bins, wherein

each bin covers a bandwidth of 5.75MHz;

each bin has an inner passband of 4.26MHz for a content envelope;

each bin has an external buffer, up and down, of 745kHz;

each bin has 13 channels, CH0 through CH12, each channel having 320

kHz and 32 tones, T0 through T31, each tone being 10kHz, with the inner

30 tones being used information bearing and T0 and T31 being reserved;

each signal being 100µs, with 12.5µs at each end thereof at the front and

rear end thereof forming respectively a cyclic prefix and cyclic suffix

buffer to punctuate successive signals;

255 and,

a symbol-decoding element for interpretation of the symbols embedded in the signal.

258

256

18. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network further comprises

using at each node the receive combiner weights as transmit distribution weights
during subsequent transmission operations, so that the network is preferentially
designed and constrained such that each link is substantially reciprocal, such that
the ad hoc network capacity measure can be made equal in both link directions by
setting at both ends of the link:

271
$$\mathbf{g}_{2}(\mathbf{q}) \propto \mathbf{w}^{*}_{2}(k,\mathbf{q}) \text{ and } \mathbf{g}_{1}(k,\mathbf{q}) \propto \mathbf{w}^{*}_{1}(k,\mathbf{q})$$
272
$$\mathbf{g}_{2}(k,q) \propto \mathbf{w}^{*}_{2}(k,q) \text{ and } \mathbf{g}_{1}(k,q) \propto \mathbf{w}^{*}_{1}(k,q) ,$$

where $\{\mathbf{g}_2(k,q), \mathbf{w}_1(k,q)\}$ are the linear transmit and receive weights to transmit data $d_2(k,q)$ from node $n_2(q)$ to node $n_1(q)$ over channel k in the downlink, and where $\{\mathbf{g}_1(k,q),\mathbf{w}_2(k,q)\}$ are the linear transmit and receive weights used to transmit data $d_1(k,q)$ from node $n_1(q)$ back to node $n_2(q)$ over equivalent channel k in the uplink.

283 19. (curi

19. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of each node in a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset having no more nodes with which it will hold

| 285 | time and frequency coincident communications in its field of view, than it has diversity | |
|-----|---|--|
| 286 | capability means further comprises: | |
| 287 | | |
| 288 | designing the topological, physical layout of nodes to enforce this constraint | |
| 289 | within the node's diversity channels capability means limitations. | |
| 290 | | |
| 291 | | |
| 292 | 20. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of each node in a | |
| 293 | transmit uplink / receive downlink subset having no more nodes with which it will hold | |
| 294 | time and frequency coincident communications in its field of view, than it has diversity | |
| 295 | capability means further comprises: | |
| 296 | designing the topological, physical layout of nodes to enforce this constraint | |
| 297 | within the node's diversity ehannels capability means limitations. | |
| 298 | | |
| 299 | | |
| 300 | 21. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 301 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 302 | network further comprises: | |
| 303 | allowing a proper subset to send redundant data transmissions over multiple | |
| 304 | frequency channels to another proper subset. | |
| 305 | | |
| 306 | | |
| 307 | 22. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the | |
| 308 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | |
| 309 | further comprises: | |
| 310 | allowing a proper subset to send redundant data transmissions over multiple | |
| 311 | simultaneous or differential time slots to another proper subset. | |
| 312 | | |
| 313 | | |

| 314 | 23. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein said transmitting proper subset and | |
|-----|---|--|
| 315 | receiving proper subset diversity capability means for transmission and reception of said | |
| 316 | analog radio waves signals further comprise: | |
| 317 | spatial diversity of antennae. | |
| 318 | | |
| 319 | | |
| 320 | 24. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein said transmitting proper subset and | |
| 321 | receiving proper subset diversity capability means for transmission and reception of said | |
| 322 | analog radio waves signals further comprise: | |
| 323 | polarization diversity of antennae. | |
| 324 | | |
| 325 | | |
| 326 | 25. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein said transmitting proper subset and | |
| 327 | receiving proper subset diversity capability means for transmission and reception of said | |
| 328 | analog radio waves signals further comprise: | |
| 329 | any combination of temporal, spatial, and polarization diversity of antennae. | |
| 330 | | |
| 331 | | |
| 332 | 26. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 333 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 334 | network further comprises: | |
| 335 | incorporating network control and feedback aspects as part of the signal encoding | |
| 336 | process. | |
| 337 | | |
| 338 | | |
| 339 | 27. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 340 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 341 | network further comprises: | |
| 342 | incorporating network control and feedback aspects as part of the signal encoding | |
| 343 | process and including said as network information in one direction of the | |
| 344 | signalling and optimization process using the perceived environmental | |

| 345 | condition's effect upon the signals in the other direction of the signalling and | |
|-----|---|--|
| 346 | optimization process. | |
| 347 | | |
| 348 | | |
| 349 | 28. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 350 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 351 | network further comprises: | |
| 352 | adjusting the diversity ehannel capability means use between any proper sets of | |
| 353 | nodes by rerouting any active link based on perceived unacceptable SINR | |
| 354 | experienced on that active link and the existence of an alternative available link | |
| 355 | using said adjusted diversity ehannel capability means. | |
| 356 | | |
| 357 | | |
| 358 | 29. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 359 | adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 360 | network further comprises: | |
| 361 | switching a particular node from one proper subset to another due to changes in | |
| 362 | the external environment affecting links between that node and other nodes in the | |
| 363 | network. | |
| 364 | | |
| 365 | | |
| 366 | 30. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 367 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 368 | network further comprises: | |
| 369 | dynamically reshuffling proper subsets to more closely attain network objectives | |
| 370 | by taking advantage of diversity channels capability means availability. | |
| 371 | | |
| 372 | | |
| 373 | 31. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 374 | adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 375 | network further comprises: | |

| 3/0 | dynamicany residiting proper subsets to more closely attain network objectives | |
|-----|---|--|
| 377 | by accounting for node changes. | |
| 378 | | |
| 379 | | |
| 380 | 32. (currently amended) A method as in claim 31, wherein said node changes | |
| 381 | include any of: | |
| 382 | adding diversity capability means to a node, adding a new node within the field of | |
| 383 | view of another node, removing a node from the network (temporarily of | |
| 384 | permanently), or losing diversity capability means at a node. | |
| 385 | | |
| 386 | | |
| 387 | 33. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | |
| 388 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | |
| 389 | network further comprises: | |
| 390 | suppressing unintended recipients or transmitters by the imposition of signal | |
| 391 | masking. | |
| 392 | | |
| 393 | | |
| 394 | 34. (original) A method as in claim 33, wherein the step of suppressing unintended | |
| 395 | recipients or transmitters by the imposition of signal masking further comprises: | |
| 396 | imposition of an origination mask. | |
| 397 | | |
| 398 | | |
| 399 | 34. (original) A method as in claim 33, wherein the step of suppressing unintended | |
| 400 | recipients or transmitters by the imposition of signal masking further comprises: | |
| 401 | imposition of a recipient mask. | |
| 402 | | |
| 403 | | |
| 404 | 35. (original) A method as in claim 33, wherein the step of suppressing unintended | |
| 405 | recipients or transmitters by the imposition of signal masking further comprises: | |
| 406 | imposition of any combination of origination and recipient masks. | |

407 408 409 36. (currently amended) A method as in claim 33, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 410 411 network further comprises: 412 using signal masking to secure transmissions against unintentional, interim 413 interception and decryption by the imposition of a signal mask at origination, the 414 transmission through any number of intermediate nodes lacking said signal mask, 415 and the reception at the desired recipient which possesses the correct means for 416 removal of the signal mask. 417 418 419 37. (original) A method as in claim 36, wherein the signal masking is shared by a proper 420 subset. 421 422 423 38. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically 424 adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 425 network further comprises: 426 heterogenous combination of a hierarchy of proper subsets, one within the other, 427 each paired with a separable subset wherein the first is a transmit uplink and the 428 second is a transmit downlink subset, such that the first subset of each pair of 429 subsets is capable of communication with the members of the second subset of 430 each pair, yet neither subset may communicate between its own members. 431 432 433 39. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the 434 diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network 435 further comprises:

| 436 | using as many of the available diversity ehannels capability means as are needed | |
|-----|--|--|
| 437 | for traffic between any two nodes from 1 to NumChannels, where NumChannels | |
| 438 | equals the maximal diversity capability means between said two nodes. | |
| 439 | | |
| 440 | 40. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the | |
| 441 | diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network | |
| 442 | further comprises: | |
| 443 | using a water-filling algorithm to route traffic between an origination and | |
| 444 | destination node through any intermediate subset of nodes that has available | |
| 445 | diversity ehannel capability means capacity. | |
| 446 | | |
| 447 | | |
| 448 | 41. (currently amended) A method for optimizing a wireless electromagnetic | |
| 449 | communications network, comprising: | |
| 450 | a wireless electromagnetic communications network, comprising | |
| 451 | a set of nodes, said set further comprising, | |
| 452 | at least a first subset of MIMO-capable nodes, each MIMO- | |
| 453 | capable node comprising: | |
| 454 | a spatially diverse antennae array of M antennae, where | |
| 455 | $M-\underline{M} \ge$ two, said antennae array being polarization diverse, | |
| 456 | and circularly symmetric, and providing 1-to-M RF feeds; | |
| 457 | a transceiver for each antenna in said array, said transceiver | |
| 458 | further comprising | |
| 459 | a Butler Mode Forming element, providing spatial | |
| 460 | signature separation with a FFT-LS algorithm, | |
| 461 | reciprocally forming a transmission with shared | |
| 462 | receiver feeds, such that the number of modes out | |
| 463 | equals the numbers of antennae, establishing such | |
| 464 | as an ordered set with decreasing energy, further | |
| 465 | comprising: | |
| | | |

| 466 | a dual-polarization element for splitting the |
|-----|--|
| 467 | modes into positive and negative polarities |
| 468 | with opposite and orthogonal polarizations, |
| 469 | that can work with circular polarizations, |
| 470 | and |
| 471 | a dual-polarized link CODEC; |
| 472 | a transmission/reception switch comprising, |
| 473 | a vector OFDM receiver element; |
| 474 | a vector OFDM transmitter element; |
| 475 | a LNA bank for a receive signal, said LNA |
| 476 | Bank also instantiating low noise |
| 477 | characteristics for a transmit signal; |
| 478 | a PA bank for the transmit signal that |
| 479 | receives the low noise characteristics for |
| 480 | said transmit signal from said LNA bank; |
| 481 | an AGC for said LNA bank and PA bank; |
| 482 | a controller element for said |
| 483 | transmission/reception switch enabling |
| 484 | baseband link distribution of the energy over |
| 485 | the multiple RF feeds on each channel to |
| 486 | steer up to $\underbrace{K}_{\text{feed}}$ beams and nulls |
| 487 | independently on each FDMA channel; |
| 488 | a Frequency Translator; |
| 489 | a timing synchronization element controlling |
| 490 | said controller element; |
| 491 | further comprising a system clock, |
| 492 | a universal Time signal element; |
| 493 | GPS; |
| 494 | a multimode power management element |
| 495 | and algorithm; |
| 496 | and, |

| 497 | a LOs element; |
|-----|---|
| 498 | said vector OFDMreceiver element comprising |
| 499 | an ADC bank for downconversion of |
| 500 | received RF signals into digital signals; |
| 501 | a MT DEMOD element for multitone |
| 502 | demodulation, separating the received signal |
| 503 | into distinct tones and splitting them into 1 |
| 504 | through K-K _{feed} FDMA channels, said |
| 505 | separated tones in aggregate forming the |
| 506 | entire baseband for the transmission, said |
| 507 | MT DEMOD element further comprising |
| 508 | a Comb element with a multiple of 2 |
| 509 | filter capable of operating on a 128- |
| 510 | bit sample; and, |
| 511 | an FFT element with a 1,024 real-IF |
| 512 | function; |
| 513 | a Mapping element for mapping the |
| 514 | demodulated multitone signals into a 426 |
| 515 | active receive bins, wherein |
| 516 | each bin covers a bandwidth of |
| 517 | 5.75MHz 5.75 MHz; |
| 518 | each bin has an inner passband of |
| 519 | 4.26MHz 4.26 MHz for a content |
| 520 | envelope; |
| 521 | each bin has an external buffer, up |
| 522 | and down, of 745kHz 745 kHz; |
| 523 | each bin has 13 channels, CH0 |
| 524 | through CH12, each channel having |
| 525 | 320 kHz and 32 tones, T0 through |
| 526 | T31, each tone being 10kHz 10 kHz, |
| 527 | with the inner 30 tones being used |
| | |

| 500 | information bearing and TO and T21 |
|-----|---|
| 528 | information bearing and T0 and T31 |
| 529 | being reserved; |
| 530 | each signal being 100 µs, with |
| 531 | $\frac{12.5 \mu s}{12.5 \mu s}$ at each end thereof at |
| 532 | the front and rear end thereof |
| 533 | forming respectively a cyclic prefix |
| 534 | and cyclic suffix buffer to punctuate |
| 535 | successive signals; |
| 536 | a MUX element for timing modification |
| 537 | capable of element-wise multiplication |
| 538 | across the signal, which halves the number |
| 539 | of bins and tones but repeats the signal for |
| 540 | high-quality needs; |
| 541 | a link CODEC, which separates each FDMA |
| 542 | channel into 1 through M links, further |
| 543 | comprising |
| 544 | a SOVA bit recovery element; |
| 545 | an error coding element; |
| 546 | an error detection element; |
| 547 | an ITI remove element; |
| 548 | a tone equalization element; |
| 549 | and, |
| 550 | a package fragment retransmission |
| 551 | element; |
| 552 | a multilink diversity combining element, |
| 553 | using a multilink Rx weight adaptation |
| 554 | algorithm for Rx signal weights $\frac{\mathbf{W}(k)}{k}$ |
| 555 | $\mathbf{W}(k)$ to adapt transmission gains |
| 556 | $G(k)$ $G(k)$ for each channel k \underline{k} ; |

| 557 | an equalization algorithm, taking the signal |
|-----|--|
| 558 | from said multilink diversity combining |
| 559 | element and controlling a delay removal |
| 560 | element; |
| 561 | said delay removal element separating signal |
| 562 | content from imposed pseudodelay and |
| 563 | experienced environmental signal delay, and |
| 564 | passing the content-bearing signal to a |
| 565 | symbol-decoding element; |
| 566 | said symbol-decoding element for |
| 567 | interpretation of the symbols embedded in |
| 568 | the signal, further comprising: |
| 569 | an element for delay gating; |
| 570 | a QAM element; and |
| 571 | a PSK element; |
| 572 | said vector OFDM transmitter element comprising: |
| 573 | a DAC bank for conversion of digital signals |
| 574 | into RF signals for transmission; |
| 575 | a MT MOD element for multitone |
| 576 | modulation, combining and joining the |
| 577 | signal to be transmitted from 1 through K |
| 578 | \underline{K}_{feed} FDMA channels, said separated tones |
| 579 | in aggregate forming the entire baseband for |
| 580 | the transmission, said MT MOD element |
| 581 | further comprising |
| 582 | a Comb element with a multiple of 2 |
| 583 | filter capable of operating on a 128- |
| 584 | bit sample; and, |
| 585 | an IFFT element with a 1,024 real-IF |
| 586 | function; |
| 500 | ranotion, |

| 507 | a Manning alament for a various the |
|-----|--|
| 587 | a Mapping element for mapping the |
| 588 | modulated multitone signals from 426 |
| 589 | active transmit bins, wherein |
| 590 | each bin covers a bandwidth of |
| 591 | 5.75MHz <u>5.75 MHz</u> ; |
| 592 | each bin has an inner passband of |
| 593 | 4.26MHz 4.26 MHz for a content |
| 594 | envelope; |
| 595 | each bin has an external buffer, up |
| 596 | and down, of 745kHz 745 kHz; |
| 597 | each bin has 13 channels, CH0 |
| 598 | through CH12, each channel having |
| 599 | 320 kHz and 32 tones, T0 through |
| 600 | T31, each tone being 10kHz 10 kHz, |
| 601 | with the inner 30 tones being used |
| 602 | information bearing and T0 and T31 |
| 603 | being reserved; |
| 604 | each signal being 100 µs, with |
| 605 | $\frac{12.5 \mu s}{12.5 \mu s}$ at each end thereof at |
| 606 | the front and rear end thereof |
| 607 | forming respectively a cyclic prefix |
| 608 | and cyclic suffix buffer to punctuate |
| 609 | successive signals; |
| 610 | a MUX element for timing modification |
| 611 | capable of element-wise multiplication |
| 612 | across the signal, which halves the number |
| 613 | of bins and tones but repeats the signal for |
| 614 | high-quality needs; |
| 615 | a symbol-coding element for embedding the |
| 616 | symbols to be interpreted by the receiver in |
| 617 | the signal, further comprising: |
| | • |

| 618 | an element for delay gating; |
|-----|---|
| 619 | a QAM element; and |
| 620 | a PSK element; |
| 621 | a link CODEC, which aggregates each |
| 622 | FDMA channel from 1 through M M links, |
| 623 | further comprising |
| 624 | a SOVA bit recovery element; |
| 625 | an error coding element; |
| 626 | an error detection element; |
| 627 | an ITI remove element; |
| 628 | a tone equalization element; |
| 629 | and, |
| 630 | a package fragment retransmission |
| 631 | element; |
| 632 | a multilink diversity distribution element, |
| 633 | using a multilink Tx weight adaptation |
| 634 | algorithm for Tx signal weights to adapt |
| 635 | transmission gains $G(k)$ $G(k)$ for each |
| 636 | channel k k , such that $g(q;k) \alpha$ |
| 637 | $\mathbf{w}^*(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{k}) \propto \mathbf{w}^*(\mathbf{q};\mathbf{k})$; |
| 638 | a TCM codec; |
| 639 | a pilot symbol CODEC element that integrates with said |
| 640 | FFT-LS algorithm a link separation, a pilot and data signal |
| 641 | elements sorting, a link detection, multilink combination, |
| 642 | and equalizer weight calculation operations; |
| 643 | means for diversity transmission and reception, |
| 644 | and, |
| 645 | means for input and output from and to a non-radio |
| | |

| 647 | |
|-----|--|
| 648 | said set of nodes being deployed according to design rules that prefer |
| 649 | meeting the following criteria: |
| 650 | said set of nodes further comprising two or more proper subsets of |
| 651 | nodes, with a first proper subset being the transmit uplink / receive |
| 652 | downlink set, and a second proper subset being the transmi |
| 653 | downlink / receive uplink set; |
| 654 | |
| 655 | each node in said set of nodes belonging to no more transmitting |
| 656 | uplink or receiving uplink subsets than it has diversity capability |
| 657 | means; |
| 658 | |
| 659 | each node in a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset has no |
| 660 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident |
| 661 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability |
| 662 | means; |
| 663 | |
| 664 | each node in a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset has no |
| 665 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident |
| 666 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability |
| 667 | means; |
| 668 | |
| 669 | each member of a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset cannot |
| 670 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any |
| 671 | other member of that transmit uplink / receive downlink subset; |
| 672 | |
| 673 | and, |
| 674 | |
| 675 | each member of a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset cannot |
| 676 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any |
| 677 | other member of that transmit downlink / receive uplink subset; |

and,

transmitting, in said wireless electromagnetic communications network, independent information from each node belonging to a first proper subset, to one or more receiving nodes belonging to a second proper subset that are viewable from the transmitting node;

processing independently, in said wireless electromagnetic communications network, at each receiving node belonging to said second proper subset, information transmitted from one or more nodes belonging to said first proper subset;

designing the network such that substantially reciprocal symmetry exists for the uplink and downlink channels by,

if the received interference is spatially white in both link directions, setting

$$g_1(a) \propto w^*_2q$$
 and $g_2(q) \propto w^*_1(q)$

 $\mathbf{g}_2(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_2^*(q)$ and $\mathbf{g}_1(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_1^*(q)$ at both ends of the link, where $\mathbf{g}_2(q), \mathbf{w}_1(q)$ $\mathbf{g}_2(q), \mathbf{w}_1(q)$ are the linear transmit and receive weights used in the downlink;

but if the received interference is not spatially white in both link

directions, constraining $\{g_1(q)\}$ and $\{g_2(q)\}$

 $\{\mathbf{g}_1(q)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q)\}$ to satisfy:

 Q_{21}

$$\sum_{g}^{T} g^{T} (q) R_{iiii} [n_{i}(q)] g^{*} g^{*} (q) =$$

706
707
$$\frac{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p}}$$
708
$$\frac{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{p}}$$
709
$$\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}$$
710
711
$$\mathbf{q}_{10}$$
712
$$\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}_{2}(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{R}_{1212}[\mathbf{n}_{2}(\mathbf{q})]\mathbf{g}^{*}_{2}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{q}}$$
713
$$\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{1}$$
714
$$\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{1}$$
715
$$\frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}_{1}(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n_{1}(\mathbf{q}))\mathbf{g}^{*}_{1}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{1}} \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n)\} = M_{1}R_{1}$$
718
719
$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q_{21}} \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}_{1}(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}\mathbf{i}_{1}}(n_{1}(\mathbf{q}))\mathbf{g}^{*}_{1}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{1}} \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n)\} = M_{2}R_{2};$$
720
$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q_{1}} \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}_{2}(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n_{2}(\mathbf{q}))\mathbf{g}^{*}_{2}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{2}} \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n)\} = M_{2}R_{2};$$
721
$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q_{1}} \mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{T}}_{2}(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n_{2}(\mathbf{q}))\mathbf{g}^{*}_{2}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{2}} \mathrm{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{2}\mathbf{i}_{2}}(n)\} = M_{2}R_{2};$$
722
$$\mathbf{q}_{1}$$
223
using any standard communications protocol, including TDD, FDD, simplex,
724
725
and,
726
727
optimizing the network by dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means between nodes of said transmitting and receiving subsets.

| /30 | |
|-----|--|
| 731 | |
| 732 | 42. (original) A method as in claim 41, wherein said a transmission/reception switch |
| 733 | further comprises: |
| 734 | |
| 735 | an element for tone and slot interleaving. |
| 736 | |
| 737 | 43. (original) A method as in claim 41, wherein said TMC codec and SOVA decoder are |
| 738 | replaced with a Turbo codec. |
| 739 | |
| 740 | 44. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of |
| 741 | dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to |
| 742 | optimize said network further comprises: |
| 743 | optimizing at each node acting as a receiver the receive weights using the \underline{a} |
| 744 | MMSE technique to adjust the multitone transmissions between it and other |
| 745 | nodes. |
| 746 | |
| 747 | |
| 748 | 45. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically |
| 749 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said |
| 750 | network further comprises: |
| 751 | optimizing at each node acting as a receiver the receive weights using the MAX |
| 752 | maximum SINR to adjust the multitone transmissions between it and other nodes. |
| 753 | |
| 754 | |
| 755 | 46. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically |
| 756 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said |
| 757 | network further comprises: |
| 758 | optimizing at each node acting as a receiver the receive weights, then optimizing |
| 759 | the transmit weights at that node by making them proportional to the receive |

761 criterion for the link capacities for that node at that particular time. 762 763 764 A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically 47. (currently amended) 765 adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 766 network further comprises: 767 including, as part of said network, one or more network controller elements that assist in tuning local node's maximum eapactive capacity criteria and link channel 768 769 diversity usage to network constraints. 770 771 772 48. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically 773 adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 774 network further comprises: characterizing the channel response vector $\mathbf{a}_1(f,t;n_2,n_1)$ by the observed 775 (possibly time-varying) azimuth and elevation $\{\theta_1(t;n_2,n_1),$ 776 $\varphi_1(f,t;n_2,n_1)$ of node n_2 observed at n_1 . 777 778 779 49. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 780 781 network further comprises: characterizing the channel response vector $\mathbf{a}_1(f,t;n_2,n_1)$ as a superposition of 782 direct-path and near-field reflection path channel responses, e.g., due to scatterers 783 in the vicinity of n_1 , such that each element of $\mathbf{a}_1(f,t;n_2,n_1)$ can be modeled 784

weights, and then optimizing the transmit gains for that node by a max-min

760

785

786

as a random process, possibly varying over time and frequency.

787 A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically 50. (currently amended) adapting the diversity channels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 788 789 network further comprises:

 $\mathbf{a}_{1}(f,t;n_{2},n_{1})$ and $\mathbf{a}_{1}(f,t;n_{2[1]},n_{4[2]})$ can be presuming that 790 791 substantively time invariant over significant time durations, e.g., large numbers of 792 OFDM symbols or TDMA time frames, and inducing the most significant 793 frequency and time variation by the observed timing and carrier offset on each 794 link.

795 796

797

798

799

810

51. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network further comprises:

800 in such networks, e.g., TDD networks, wherein the transmit and receive frequencies are identical $(f_{21}(k) = f_{12}(k) = f(k))$ and the transmit and 801 receive time slots are separated by short time intervals $(t_{21}(l) = t_{12}(l) + \Delta_{21}$ 802 $\approx t(l)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k,l)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k,l)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k,l)$ and 803 $\mathbf{H}_{12}(k,l)$ become substantively reciprocal, such that the subarrays 804 comprising $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k, l)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k, l)$ $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k, l)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{12}(k, l)$ 805 $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k,l;n_2,n_1) \approx \delta_{21}(k,l;n_1,n_2) \mathbf{H}_{42}^T \mathbf{H}_{12}^T(k,l;n_1,n_2),$ 806 where $\delta_{21}(k,l;n_1,n_2)$ is a unit-magnitude, generally nonreciprocal scalar, 807 808 equalizing the observed timing offsets, carrier offsets, and phase offsets, such that $\lambda_{21}(n_2,n_1) \approx \lambda_{12}(n_1,n_2), \ \tau_{21}(n_2,n_1) \approx \tau_{12}(n_{21},n_{12}), \ \text{and}$ 809 $v_{21}(n_1,n_2) pprox v_{12}(n_{21},n_{12})$, by synchronizing each node to an external,

universal time and frequency standard, obtaining $\delta_{21}(k,l;\;n_{1/2},n_{2/1}) pprox 2$ 811 1, and establishing network channel response as truly reciprocal $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k,l)$ pprox812 $\mathbf{H}_{21}^{T} \ \mathbf{H}_{12}^{T} \ (k,l).$ 813 814 815 816 52. A method as in claim 51, wherein the synchronization of each node is to Global 817 Position System Universal Time Coordinates (GPS UTC). 818 819 53. (original) A method as in claim 51, wherein the synchronization of each node is to a 820 821 network timing signal. 822 823 824 54. (original) A method as in claim 51, wherein the synchronization of each node is to a 825 combination of Global Position System Universal Time Coordinates (GPS UTC) and a 826 network timing signal. 827 828 829 55. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically 830 adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 831 network further comprises: 832 for such parts of the network where the internode channel responses possess substantive multipath, such that $\mathbf{H}_{21}(k, l; n_2, n_1)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{21,12}(k, l; n_2, n_1)$ 833 $;n_{21},n_{12})$ have rank greater than unity, making the channel response 834 835 substantively reciprocal by: 836 837 (1) forming uplink and downlink transmit signals using the matrix formula 838 in EQ. 40

839
$$\mathbf{s}_1(k,l;n_1) = \mathbf{G}_1(k,l;n_1) \mathbf{d}_1(k,l;n_1)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{2}(k,l;n_{1}) = \mathbf{G}_{2}(k,l;n_{2}) \mathbf{d}_{2}(k,l;n_{2});$$

841 (2) reconstructing the data intended for each receive node using the matrix formula in EQ. 41

$$\mathbf{y}_{1}(k,l;n_{1}) = \mathbf{W}^{H}_{1}(k,l;n_{1}) \mathbf{x}_{1}(k,l;n_{1})$$

844
$$\mathbf{y}_{2}(k,l;n_{2}) = \mathbf{W}^{H}_{2}(k,l;n_{2}) \mathbf{x}_{2}(k,l;n_{2});$$

- 845 (3) developing combiner weights that $\{\mathbf{W}_1(k,l;n_2,n_1)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{W}_2(k,l;n_1,n_2)\}$ that substantively null data intended for recipients during the symbol recovery operation, such that for $n_1 \neq n_2$:
- 848 (4) developing distribution weights $\{\mathbf{g}_1(k, l; n_2, n_1)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_2(k, l; n_1, n_2)\}$ that perform equivalent substantive nulling operations during transmit signal formation operations;
 - (5) scaling distribution weights to optimize network capacity and/or power criteria, as appropriate for the specific node topology and application addressed by the network;
 - (6) removing residual timing and carrier offset remaining after recovery of the intended network data symbols;

856 and

851

852

853

854

855

857

858

(7) encoding data onto symbol vectors based on the end-to-end SINR obtainable between each transmit and intended recipient node, and

decoding that data after symbol recovery operations, using channel coding and decoding methods develop in prior art.

861862

863

872

873

878

879

859

860

56. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network

864 further comprises:

forming substantively nulling combiner weights using an FFT-based least-squares algorithms that adapt $\{\mathbf{w}_1(k,l;n_2,n_1)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_2(k,l;n_1,n_2)\}$ to values that minimize the mean-square error (MSE) between the combiner output data and a known segment of transmitted pilot data;

applying the pilot data to an entire OFDM symbol at the start of an adaptation frame comprising a single OFDM symbol containing pilot data followed by a stream of OFDM symbols containing information data;

wherein the pilot data transmitted over the pilot symbol is preferably given by EQ. 44 and EQ. 45,

874
$$p_1(k; n_2, n_1) = d_1(k, 1; n_2, n_1)$$

$$= p_{01}(k) p_{21}(k; n_2) p_{11}(k; n_1)$$

$$p_2(k; n_1, n_2) = d_2(k, 1; n_1, n_2)$$

$$= p_{02}(k) p_{12}(k; n_1) p_{22}(k; n_2)$$

such that the "pseudodelays" $\delta_1(n_1)$ and $\delta_2(n_2)$ are unique to each transmit node (in small networks), or provisioned at the beginning of communication with

any given recipient node (in which case each will be a function of n_1 and n_2), giving each pilot symbol a pseudorandum component;

maintaining minimum spacing between any pseudodelays used to communicate with a given recipient node that is larger than the maximum expected timing offset observed at that recipient node, said spacing should also being an integer multiple of 1/K, where K is the number of tones used in a single FFT-based LS algorithm;

and if K is not large enough to provide a sufficiency of pseudodelays, using additional OFDM symbols for transmission of pilot symbols, either lengthening the effective value of K, or reducing the maximum number of originating nodes transmitting pilot symbols over the same OFDM symbol;

also providing K large enough to allow effective combiner weights to be constructed from the pilot symbols alone;

then obtaining the remaining information-bearing symbols, which are the uplink and downlink data symbols provided by prior encoding, encryption, symbol randomization, and channel preemphasis stages, in the adaptation frame, by <u>using</u> EQ. 46 and EQ. 47

897
$$d_1(k,l;n_2,n_1) = p_1(k;n_2,n_1) d_{01}(k,l;n_2,n_1)$$

898
$$d_2(k,l;n_1,n_2) = p_2(k;n_1,n_2) d_{02}(k,l;n_1,n_2);$$

removing at the recipient node, first the pseudorandom pilot components from the received data by multiplying each tone and symbol by the pseudorandom components of the pilot signals, using EQ. 47 and EQ. 48

 $d_2(k, l; n_1, n_2) = p_2(k; n_1, n_2) d_{02}(k, l; n_1, n_2)$ 902 $\mathbf{x}_{02}(k, l; n_2) = c_{01}(k; n_2) \mathbf{x}_2(k, l; n_2);$ 903 thereby transforming each authorized and intended pilot symbol for the recipient 904 905 node into a complex sinusoid with a slope proportional to the sum of the 906 pseudodelay used during the pilot generation procedure, and the actual observed 907 timing offset for that link, and leaving other, unauthorized pilot symbols, and 908 symbols intended for other nodes in the network, untransformed and so appearing 909 as random noise at the recipient node. 910 911 912 57. (currently amended) A method as in claim 55, wherein the FFT-Least Squares 913 algorithm is that shown in Figure 37. further comprises: 914 using a pilot symbol, which is multiplied by a unit-norm FFT window function; 915 passing that result to a OR decomposition algorithm and computing orthogonalized data $\{q(k)\}$ and an upper-triangular Cholesky statistics matrix R: 916 then multiplying each vector element of $\{\mathbf{q}(k)\}$ by the same unit-norm FFT 917 918 window function and passing it through a zero-padded inverse Fast Fourier 919 Transform (IFFT) with output length PK, with padding factor P to form uninterpolated, spatially whitened processor weights $\{\mathbf{u}(m)\}$, where lag index 920 m is proportional to target pseudodelay $\delta(m) = m/PK$; 921 922 then using the spatially whitened processor weights to estimate the mean-square-923 error (MSE) obtaining for a signal received at each target pseudodelay, $\varepsilon(m) = 1 - ||\mathbf{u}(m)||^2$, yielding a detection statistic (pseudodelay indicator 924 function), with an extreme at IFFT lags commensurate with the observed 925

926 pseudodelay and designed to minimize interlag interference between pilot signal 927 features in the pseudodelay indicator function; 928 using an extremes-finding algorithm to detect each extreme; 929 estimating the location of the observed pseudodelays to sub-lag accuracy; 930 determining additional ancillary statistics; 931 selecting the extremes beyond a designated MSE threshold; 932 interpolating spatially whitened weights U from weights near the extremes; 933 using the whitened combiner weights U to calculate both unwhitened combiner weights $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{U}$ to be used in subsequent data recovery operations, and to 934 estimate the received channel aperture matrix $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^H \mathbf{U}$, to facilitate ancillary 935 936 signal quality measurements and fast network entry in future adaptation frames; 937 and, lastly, using an estimated and optimized pseudodelay vector $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{*}$ to generate $\mathbf{c}_{1}(k)$ = 938 $\exp\{-j2\pi\delta_{\underline{*}}\underline{k}\}$ (conjugate of $\{p_{\underline{1}\underline{1}}(\underline{k};\underline{n}_{\underline{1}})\}$ during uplink receive 939 operations, and $\{p_{22}(k; n_2)\}$ during downlink receive operations), which is then 940 941 used to remove the residual observed pseudodelay from the information bearing 942 symbols. 943 944 945 58. (original) A method as in claim 55, wherein the pseudodelay estimation is refined 946 using a Gauss-Newton recursion using the approximation: $\exp\{-j2\pi\Delta(k-k_0)/PK\}\approx 1-j2\pi\Delta(k-k_0)/PK$. 947 948

- 950 59. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein wherein dynamically 951 adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said 952 network further comprises:
- 953 using the linear combiner weights provided during receive operations are 954 construct linear distribution weights during subsequent transmit operations, by weight $\mathbf{g}_1(k, l; n_2, n_1)$ distribution proportional 955 setting to $\mathbf{W}^*_1(k, l; n_2, n_1)$ during uplink transmit 956 operations, and $\mathbf{g}_2(k,l;n_1,n_2)$ proportional to $\mathbf{W}^*_2(k,l;n_1,n_2)$ during downlink 957 958 transmit operations; thereby making the transmit weights substantively nulling 959 and thereby allowing each node to form frequency and time coincident two-way 960 links to every node in its field of view, with which it is authorized (through

962 communicate.

963964

965

966

961

60. (original) A method as in claim 1, wherein each node in the first subset of nodes further comprises:

establishment of link set and transfer of network/recipient node information) to

a LEGO implementation element and algorithm.

- 970 61. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the 971 diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network 972 further comprises:
- balancing the power use against capacity for each channel, link, and node, and hence for the network as a whole by:
- 975 establishing a capacity objective $\frac{B}{B}$ $\frac{B(m)}{B}$ for a particular Node 2 976 user 2 node receiving from a user 1 node another Node 1 as the target to 977 be achieved by the user 2 node node 2;

978 solving, at the user 2 node Node 2 the local optimization problem: $\min \Sigma_q \pi_l(q) = \mathbf{1}^T \pi_{l,}$ such that 979 $\Sigma_{q \in O(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m),$ 980 where $\pi_1(q)$ is the SU (user 1 node) transmit power for link 981 number q Q for the user 1 node, 982 $\gamma(q)$ is the signal to interference and noise ratio (SINR) seen at 983 984 the output of the beamformer, 1 is a vector of all 1s. 985 986 and, π_1 is a vector whose q^{th} element is $\pi_1(q)$ \underline{q}^{th} element is $\underline{\pi}_1(q)$, 987 the aggregate set Q(m) contains a set of links that are 988 989 grouped together for the purpose of measuring capacity flows 990 through those links; 991 using at Node 2 the user 2 node the local optimization solution to 992 moderate the transmit and receive weights, and signal information, 993 returned to node:-994 and, 995 using said feedback to compare against the capacity objective B $\{eta(m)\}$ and incrementally adjust the transmit power at each of Node 1 996 997 the user 1 node and Node 2 the user 2 node until no further improvement 998 is perceptible. 999 1000 1001 62. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein dynamically adapting the 1002 diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network 1003 further comprises:

using the downlink objective function in EQ. 5 and EQ. 6

1005
$$\min \Sigma_q \pi_2(q) = \mathbf{1}^T \pi_2 \text{ such that } \Sigma_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge$$

$$\beta(m)$$

at each node to perform local optimization;

reporting the required feasibility condition,
$$\sum_{q \in Q(m)} \pi_1(q) \le R_1(m)$$

$$\sum_{q \in Q(m)} \pi_1(q) \leq R_1(m);$$

1010 and

modifying $\beta(m)$ as necessary to stay within the constraint.

10121013

1014 63. (original) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein:

the capacity constraints $\beta(m)$ are determined in advance for each proper subset

of nodes, based on known QoS requirements for each said proper subset.

10171018

1019 64. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein said network further

seeks to minimize total power in the network as suggested by EQ. 4

$$\Sigma_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m).$$

10221023

1024 65. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein said network sets as a

target objective for the network $\mathbf{B} = \{\beta(m)\}\$ the QoS for the network.

1026

1028 66. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein said network sets as a 1029 target objective for the network $\mathbf{B} \left\{ \beta(m) \right\}$ a vector of constraints.

1030 1031 67. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein the local optimization problem is further defined such that:

10341035

the receive and transmit weights are unit normalized with respect to the background interference autocorrelation matrix;

10371038

1036

the local SINR is expressed as EQ. 8

$$\gamma(q) = \frac{P_{rt}(q,q)\pi_t(q)}{1 + \sum_{j \neq q} P_{rt}(q,j)\pi_t(j)}$$

10391040

1041

1044

1045

1046

1047

and the weight normalization in EQ. 6

1042
$$\sum_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m)$$

1043 is used to enable $D_{12}(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{G}) = D_{21}(\mathbf{G})$

is used to enable
$$\underline{D_{12}(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{G})} = \underline{D_{21}(\mathbf{G}^*,\mathbf{W}^*)}$$
, where $(\mathbf{W}_2,\mathbf{G}_1)$

and $(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{G}_2)$ represent the receive and transmit weights employed by all nodes in the network during uplink and downlink operations, respectively, the reciprocity equation at that node, thereby allowing the uplink and downlink function to be presumed identical rather than separately computed.

1048 1049

1050 68. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein:

very weak constraints to the transmit powers are approximated by using a very

simple approximation for $\frac{\gamma(q)}{\gamma(q)}$.

1054

69. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, for the cases wherein all the aggregate sets contain a single link and non-negligible environmental noise is present, wherein the transmit powers are computed as Perron vectors from EQ. 10,

$$D_{21} = \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{P}_{21}) - 1} \right)$$
$$= \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{P}_{12}) - 1} \right)$$

 $= D_1$

and a simple power constraint is imposed upon the transmit powers.

10601061

1058

70. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 69, wherein the optimization is performed in alternating directions and repeated.

1064

1065

71. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60-61, wherein each node presumes the post-beamforming interference energy remains constant for the adjustment interval and so solves EQ. 3

1069
$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_1(q)} \sum_{q} \boldsymbol{\pi}_1(q) = \mathbf{1}^T \ \boldsymbol{\pi}_1 \quad \text{subject to the constraint of}$$

1070
$$\Sigma_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m)$$

using classic water filling arguments based on Lagrange multipliers, and then uses a similar equation for the reciprocal element of the link.

72. (currently amended) Amethod as in claim 60 61, wherein at each node the constrained optimization problem stated in EQ. 13 and 14

$$\max_{m} \sum_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)), \text{ such that}$$

1078
$$\sum_{q \in Q(m)} \pi_1(q) \le R_1(m), \ \gamma(q) \ge 0$$

is solved using the approximation in EQ. 11,

1080
$$\gamma(q) = \frac{P_{21}(q,q)\pi_1(q)}{i_2(q)}$$

and the network further comprises at least one high-level network controller that controls

the power constraints $R_1(q)$ $R_1(m)$, and drives the network towards a max-min

1083 solution.

1084

1085

1086 73. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein each node:

is given an initial γ_0 ;

generates the model expressed in EQ. 20, EQ. 21, and EQ. 22

1089
$$L(\gamma, \mathbf{g}, \beta) = \mathbf{g}^T \gamma, \Sigma_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m)$$

1090
$$\mathbf{g} = \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{y}_0) \quad ;$$

1091 updates the new γ_{α} from EQ. 23 and EQ. 24

1092
$$\gamma_* = \arg\min_{\gamma} L(\gamma, \mathbf{g}, \beta), \ \gamma_{\alpha} = \gamma_0 + \alpha(\gamma_* - \gamma_0);$$

determines a target SINR to adapt to; and,

updates the transmit power for each link q according to EQ. 25 and EQ. 26

1095
$$\pi_2(q) = \gamma_\alpha i_1(q) / |h(q)|^2$$

1096
$$\pi_1(q) = \gamma_\alpha i_2(q) / |h(q)|^2.$$

1098 74. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, for each node wherein the

1099 transmit power relationship of EQ. 25 and EQ 26

1100
$$\pi_2(q) = \gamma_\alpha i_1(q) / |h(q)|^2$$

1101
$$\pi_1(q) = \gamma_\alpha i_2(q) / |h(q)|^2$$

1102 is not known, that:

uses a suitably long block of N samples is used to establish the relationship, where

N is either 4 times the number of antennae or 128, whichever is larger;

uses the result to update the receive weights at each end of the link;

optimizes the local model as in EQ. 23 and EQ. 24

1107
$$\gamma_* = \arg\min_{\gamma} L(\gamma, \mathbf{g}, \beta)$$

and then applies

1110
$$\pi_2(q) = \gamma_\alpha i_1(q) / |h(q)|^2$$

1111
$$\pi_1(q) = \gamma_{\alpha} i_2(q) / \left| h(q) \right|^2 \quad \text{EQ. 25 and EQ. 26.}$$

1112

1113 75. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61 that, for an aggregate proper

1114 subset *m*:

for each node within the set m, inherits the network objective function model

1116 given in EQ. 28, EQ. 29, and EQ. 30

1117
$$L_m(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{g},\beta) = \sum_{q \in Q(m)} \mathbf{g}_q \mathbf{y}(q)$$

1118
$$\sum_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q)) \ge \beta(m)$$

1119
$$g(q) = i_1(q)i_2(q)/|h(q)|^2 ;$$

eliminates the <u>a</u> step of matrix channel estimation, transmitting instead from that node as a single real number for each link to the other end of said link an estimate of the post beamforming interference power;

1123 and,

receives back for each link a single real number being the transmit power.

1126 76. (original) A method as in claim 75 74, that for each pair of nodes assigns to the one

presently possessing the most processing capability the power management

computations.

1131 77. (currently amended) A method as in claim 74 75 that estimates the transfer gains

and the post beamforming interference power using simple least squares estimation

1133 techniques.

11341135

1125

1129

1130

1136 78. (currently amended) A method as in claim 74 75 that, for estimating the transfer

gains and post beamforming interference power:

1138

instead solves for the transfer gain h using EQ. 31

1140
$$y(n) = hgs(n) + \varepsilon(n);$$

uses a block of N samples of data to estimate h using EQ. 32

1142
$$h = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} s^*(n) y(n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |s(n)|^2 g}$$

obtains an estimation of residual interference power $R_e R_{\varepsilon}$ using EQ. 33

 $R_{\varepsilon} = \left\langle \left| \varepsilon(n) \right|^2 \right\rangle$ 1144 $= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\left| y(n) \right|^2 - \left| ghs(n) \right|^2 \right)$ 1145 and, obtains knowledge of the transmitted data symbols S(n) from using 1146 1147 remodulated symbols at the output of the codec. 1148 1149 1150 A method as in claim 77 78 wherein, instead of obtaining 79. (currently amended) knowledge of the transmitted data symbols S(n) from using remodulated symbols at the 1151 1152 output of the codec, the node uses the output of a property restoral algorithm used in a 1153 blind beamforming algorithm. 1154 1155 1156 80. (currently amended) A method as in claim 77 78 wherein, instead of obtaining knowledge of the transmitted data symbols S(n) from using remodulated symbols at the 1157 1158 output of the codec, the node uses a training sequence explicitly transmitted to train 1159 beamforming weights and asset the power management algorithms. 1160 1161 1162 81. (currently amended) A method as in claim 77 78 wherein, instead of obtaining knowledge of the transmitted data symbols S(n) from using remodulated symbols at the 1163 1164 output of the codec, the node uses any combination of: the output of a property restoral algorithm used in a blind beamforming algorithm; 1165 1166 a training sequence explicitly transmitted to train beamforming weights and asset 1167 the power management algorithms: 1168 or, .

1169 other means known to the art. 1170 1171 1172 82. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein each node incorporates a link level optimizer and a decision algorithm, as illustrated in Figure 1173 1174 32Aand 32B. 1175 83. (currently amended) 1176 A method as in claim 81 82, wherein the decision algorithm is a Lagrange multiplier technique. 1177 1178 1179 1180 84. (currently amended) A method as in claim $60 \underline{61}$, wherein the solution to $\underline{EQ. 3}$ $\min_{\pi_1(q)} \sum_{q} \pi_1(q) = \mathbf{1}^T \ \mathbf{\pi}_1 \quad \text{is implemented by a penalty function technique.}$ 1181 1182 1183 1184 85. (currently amended) A method as in claim 83 84, wherein the penalty function 1185 technique: takes the derivative of $\gamma_{(q)}$ $\gamma(q)$ with respect to π_1 ; 1186 1187 and, 1188 uses the Kronecker-Delta function and the weighted background noise. 1189 1190 1191 86. (currently amended) A method as in claim 83 84, wherein the penalty function 1192 technique neglects the noise term. 1193 1194 1195 87. (currently amended) A method as in claim 83 84, wherein the penalty function 1196 technique normalizes the noise term to one. 1197

- 1199 88. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein the approximation
- 1200 uses the receive weights.

1201

1202

- 1203 89. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein adaptation to the
- 1204 target objective is performed in a series of measured and quantized descent and ascent
- 1205 steps.

1206

- 1207 90. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein the adaptation to the
- target objective is performed in response to information stating the vector of change.

1209

1210

- 1211 91. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, which uses the log linear mode
- 1212 in EQ. 34

1213
$$\beta_q \approx \log \left(\frac{a \ \pi_1(q) + a_0}{b \ \pi_1(q) + b_0} \right) = \hat{\beta}_q(\pi_1(q))$$

- 1214 and the inequality characterization in EQ. 35 $\hat{\beta}_q(\pi_1(q)) \ge \beta$ to solve the
- approximation problem with a simple low dimensional linear program.

1216

1217

- 1218 92. (currently amended) A method as in claim60 <u>61</u>, develops the local mode by
- matching function values and gradients between the current model and the actual
- 1220 function.

1221

1222

- 1223 93. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, which develops the model as a
- solution to the least squares fit, evaluated over several points.

| 1226 | | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1227 | 94. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, which reduces the cross- | | |
| 1228 | coupling effect by allowing only a subset of links to update at any one particular time, | | |
| 1229 | wherein the subset members are chosen as those which are more likely to be isolated | | |
| 1230 | from one another. | | |
| 1231 | | | |
| 1232 | | | |
| 1233 | | | |
| 1234 | 95. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 61, wherein: | | |
| 1235 | the network further comprises a network controller element; | | |
| 1236 | said network controller element governs a subset of the network; | | |
| 1237 | said network controller element initiates, monitors, and changes the target | | |
| 1238 | objective for that subset; | | |
| 1239 | said network controller communicates the target objective to each node in that | | |
| 1240 | subset; | | |
| 1241 | and, | | |
| 1242 | receives information from each node concerning the adaptation necessary to meet | | |
| 1243 | said target objective. | | |
| 1244 | | | |
| 1245 | | | |
| 1246 | 96. (currently amended) A method as in claim 94 95, wherein said network further | | |
| 1247 | records the scalar and history of the increments and decrements ordered by the network | | |
| 1248 | controller. | | |
| 1249 | | | |
| 1250 | | | |
| 1251 | 97. (currently amended) A method as in claim60 61, wherein for any subset, a target | | |
| 1252 | objective may be a power constraint. | | |
| 1253 | | | |
| 1254 | | | |
| 1255 | 98. (currently amended) A method as in claim 60 <u>61</u> , wherein for any subset, a target | | |
| 1256 | objective may be a capacity maximization subject to a power constraint. | | |

| 1257 | | | |
|------|---|---|--|
| 1258 | ı | | |
| 1259 | 99. (currently amended) | A method as in claim 60 61, wherein for any subset, a | |
| 1260 | target objective may be a pov | ver minimization subject to the capacity attainment to the | |
| 1261 | limit possible over the entire network. | | |
| 1262 | | • | |
| 1263 | | | |
| 1264 | 100. (currently amended) | A method as in claim 60 61, wherein for any subset, a | |
| 1265 | target objective may be a pow | ver minimization at each particular node in the network | |
| 1266 | subject to the capacity constra | aint at that particular node. | |
| 1267 | | | |
| 1268 | | | |
| 1269 | 101. (currently amended) | A wireless electromagnetic communications network, | |
| 1270 | comprising: | | |
| 1271 | a wireless electromagnetic communications network, comprising | | |
| 1272 | a set of nodes, | said set further comprising, | |
| 1273 | at least | a first subset wherein each node is MIMO-capable, | |
| 1274 | compri | sing: | |
| 1275 | | a spatially diverse antennae array of M antennae, where M | |
| 1276 | | \geq one, | |
| 1277 | | a transceiver for each antenna in said array, | |
| 1278 | | means for digital signal processing, | |
| 1279 | | means for coding and decoding data and symbols, | |
| 1280 | | means for diversity transmission and reception, | |
| 1281 | | and, | |
| 1282 | | means for input and output from and to a non-radio | |
| 1283 | | interface; | |
| 1284 | said set of nod | les further comprising one or more proper subsets of nodes, | |
| 1285 | being at least of | one transmitting and at least one receiving subset, with said | |
| 1286 | transmitting a | and receiving subsets having a topological arrangement | |
| 1287 | whereby: | | |

| 1288 | each node in a transmitting subset has no more nodes with which it | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1289 | will simultaneously communicate in its field of view, than it ha | | |
| 1290 | number of antennae; | | |
| 1291 | each node in a receiving subset has no more nodes with which it | | |
| 1292 | will simultaneously communicate in its field of view, than it can | | |
| 1293 | steer independent nulls to; | | |
| 1294 | and, | | |
| 1295 | each member of a non-proper subset cannot communicate with any | | |
| 1296 | other member of its non-proper subset; | | |
| 1297 | transmitting independent information from each node in a first non-proper subset | | |
| 1298 | to one or more receiving nodes belonging to a second non-proper subset that are | | |
| 1299 | viewable from the transmitting node; | | |
| 1300 | processing independently information transmitted to a receiving node in a second | | |
| 1301 | non-proper subset from one or more nodes in a first non-proper subset is | | |
| 1302 | independently by the receiving node; | | |
| 1303 | and, | | |
| 1304 | optimizing the network by dynamically adapting the diversity channels means for | | |
| 1305 | diversity transmission and reception between nodes of said transmitting and receiving | | |
| 1306 | subsets. | | |
| 1307 | | | |
| 1308 | | | |
| 1309 | 102. (currently amended) An apparatus as in claim 100 101, further | | |
| 1310 | comprising an element for scheduling according to a Demand-Assigned, Multiple-Access | | |
| 1311 | algorithm. | | |
| 1312 | | | |
| 1313 | | | |
| 1314 | 103. (currently amended) An apparatus as in claim 100 101, further comprising for | | |
| 1315 | each node in said first subset a LEGO adaptation element. | | |
| 1316 | | | |
| 1317 | | | |
| 1318 | 104. (currently amended) An apparatus as in claim 100 101, further comprising: | | |

| 1319 | for each node in said first subset a LEGO adaptation element; and, | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1320 | one or more network controllers. | | |
| 1321 | | | |
| 1322 | | | |
| 1323 | 105. (currently amended) A method as in claim 1, wherein the step of dynamically | | |
| 1324 | adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said | | |
| 1325 | network further comprises: | | |
| 1326 | | | |
| 1327 | matching each transceiver's degrees of freedom (DOF) to the nodes in the | | |
| 1328 | possible link directions; | | |
| 1329 | equalizing those links to provide node-equivalent uplink and downlink capacity. | | |
| 1330 | | | |
| 1331 | 106. (original) A method as in claim 105, further comprising, after the DOF matching: | | |
| 1332 | assigning asymmetric transceivers to reflect desired capacity weighting; | | |
| 1333 | adapting the receive weights to form a solution for multipath resolutions; | | |
| 1334 | employing data and interference whitening as appropriate to the local conditions; | | |
| 1335 | and, | | |
| 1336 | using retrodirective transmission gains during subsequent transmission operations. | | |
| 1337 | | | |
| 1338 | | | |
| 1339 | 107. (original) A method as in claim 105, wherein the receive weights are similarly | | |
| 1340 | modified matched to the nodes in the possible link directions. | | |
| 1341 | | | |
| 1342 | | | |
| 1343 | 108. (currently amended) A method for optimizing a wireless electromagnetic | | |
| 1344 | communications network, comprising: | | |
| 1345 | a wireless electromagnetic communications network, comprising | | |
| 1346 | a set of nodes, said set of nodes further comprising, | | |
| 1347 | at least a first subset wherein each node is MIMO-capable, | | |
| 1348 | comprising: | | |
| 1349 | an antennae array of \mathbf{M} M antennae, where $\mathbf{M} > 0$ one. | | |

| 1350 | a transceiver for each antenna in said spatially diverse |
|------|--|
| 1351 | antennae array, |
| 1352 | means for digital signal processing to convert analog radio |
| 1353 | signals into digital signals and digital signals into analog |
| 1354 | radio signals, |
| 1355 | means for coding and decoding data, symbols, and control |
| 1356 | information into and from digital signals, |
| 1357 | diversity capability means for transmission and reception of |
| 1358 | said analog radio waves signals; |
| 1359 | and, |
| 1360 | means for input and output from and to a non-radio |
| 1361 | interface for digital signals; |
| 1362 | said set of nodes being deployed according to design rules that prefer |
| 1363 | meeting the following criteria: |
| 1364 | |
| 1365 | said set of nodes further comprising two or more proper subsets of |
| 1366 | nodes, with a first proper subset being the transmit uplink / receive |
| 1367 | downlink set, and a second proper subset being the transmit |
| 1368 | downlink / receive uplink set; |
| 1369 | |
| 1370 | each node in said set of nodes belonging to no more transmitting |
| 1371 | uplink or receiving uplink subsets than it has diversity capability |
| 1372 | means; |
| 1373 | |
| 1374 | each node in a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset has no |
| 1375 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident |
| 1376 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability |
| 1377 | means; |
| 1378 | |
| 1379 | each node in a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset has no |
| 1380 | more nodes with which it will hold time and frequency coincident |
| | |

| 1381 | communications in its field of view, than it has diversity capability | |
|------|---|--|
| 1382 | means; | |
| 1383 | | |
| 1384 | each member of a transmit uplink / receive downlink subset cannot | |
| 1385 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any | |
| 1386 | other member of that transmit uplink / receive downlink subset; | |
| 1387 | and, | |
| 1388 | each member of a transmit downlink / receive uplink subset cannot | |
| 1389 | hold time and frequency coincident communications with any | |
| 1390 | other member of that transmit downlink / receive uplink subset; | |
| 1391 | | |
| 1392 | transmitting, in said wireless electromagnetic communications network, | |
| 1393 | independent information from each node belonging to a first proper subset, to one | |
| 1394 | or more receiving nodes belonging to a second proper subset that are viewable | |
| 1395 | from the transmitting node; | |
| 1396 | | |
| 1397 | processing independently, in said wireless electromagnetic communications | |
| 1398 | network, at each receiving node belonging to said second proper subset, | |
| 1399 | information transmitted from one or more nodes belonging to said first proper | |
| 1400 | subset; | |
| 1401 | | |
| 1402 | optimizing at the local level for each node for the channel capacity ${f D}$ $\ {f \underline{D}}$ 21 | |
| 1403 | according to EQ. 49, | |

$$D_{21} = \max \beta \text{ such that}$$

$$\beta \leq \sum_{q \in U(m)} \sum_{k} \log(1 + \gamma(k, q)),$$

$$\gamma(k, q) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{m} R_{1}(m) \leq R,$$

$$\pi_{1}(k, q) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{q \in U(m)} \sum_{k} \pi_{1}(k, q) \leq R_{1}(m)$$
1405 solving first the reverse link power control problem; then treating the forward link problem in an identical fashion, substituting the subscripts 2 for 1 in said equation;
1408 and,
1409 dynamically adapting the diversity ehannels capability means and said proper subsets to optimize said network.
1410
1411
1412
1413 109. (currently amended) A method as in claim 108, futher comprising:
1414
1415 for each aggregate subset m , attempting to achieve the given capacity objective, β
1416 β , as described in
1417
$$\min_{\pi_{r}(q)} \sum_{q \in Q(m)} \pi_{r}(q), \quad \text{such that}$$
1418
$$\beta = \sum_{q \in Q(m)} \log(1 + \gamma(q))$$

EQ. 50, by:

| 1421 1422 | (1) optimizing the receive beamformers, using simple MMSE processing, to simultaneously optimize the SINR; | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| 1423 | (2) based on the individual measured SINR for each q \underline{q} index, attempt to | | |
| 1424 | incrementally increase or lower its capacity as needed to match the current target | | |
| 1425 | and, | | |
| 1426 | (3) steping stepping the power by a quantized small step in the appropriate | | |
| 1427 | direction; | | |
| 1428 | then, | | |
| 1429 | when all aggregate sets have achieved the current target capacity, then the | | |
| 1430 | network can either increase the target capacity $oldsymbol{eta}$, or add additional users to | | |
| 1431 | exploit the now-known excess capacity. | | |
| 1432 | | | |
| 1433 | | | |
| 1434 | 110. (currently amended) A method as in claim 106 107, wherein instead of | | |
| 1435 | optimizing for channel [capability means] capacity, the network optimizes for QoS and | | |
| 1436 | not diversity capability means capacity. | | |
| 1437 | | | |
| 1438 | 111. (currently amended) A method as in claim 94 95, wherein: | | |
| 1439 | said network controller adds, drops, or changes the target capacity for any node in | | |
| 1440 | the set the network controller controls. | | |
| 1441 | | | |
| 1442 | | | |
| 1443 | 112. (currently amended) A method as in claim 94 95, wherein: | | |
| 1444 | said network controller may, either in addition to or in replacement for altering eta , | | |
| 1445 | add, drop, or change channels between nodes, frequencies, coding, security, or | | |
| 1446 | protocols, polarizations, or traffic density allocations usable by a particular node | | |
| 1447 | or channel. | | |
| 1448 | | | |
| 1449 | | | |

| 1450 | 113. (currently amended) | A wireless electromagnetic communications network, |
|------|--------------------------|--|
| 1451 | comprising: | |
| 1452 | a set of nodes, said s | et further comprising, |
| 1453 | at leas | st a first subset wherein each node is MIMO-capable, |
| 1454 | comprising: | |
| 1455 | | a spatially diverse antennae array of M antennae, where |
| 1456 | | $\underline{M} \geq $ one, |
| 1457 | | a transceiver for each antenna in said array, |
| 1458 | | 13 means for digital signal processing, |
| 1459 | | 14 means for coding and decoding data and symbols, |
| 1460 | | 19 means for diversity transmission and reception, |
| 1461 | | pilot symbol coding & decoding element |
| 1462 | | timing synchronization element |
| 1463 | | and, |
| 1464 | | means for input and output from and to a non-radio |
| 1465 | | interface; |
| 1466 | said set of no | des further comprising two or more proper subsets of nodes, |
| 1467 | there being a | t least one transmitting and at least one receiving subset, with |
| 1468 | said transmi | tting and receiving subsets subset having a diversity |
| 1469 | arrangement | whereby: |
| 1470 | each r | node in a transmitting subset has no more nodes with which it |
| 1471 | will s | imultaneously communicate in its field of view, than it has |
| 1472 | numb | er of antennae; |
| 1473 | each 1 | node in a receiving subset has no more nodes with which it |
| 1474 | will s | imultaneously communicate in its field of view, than it can |
| 1475 | steer i | ndependent nulls to; |
| 1476 | | and, |
| 1477 | each r | nember of a non-proper subset cannot communicate with any |
| 1478 | other | member of its non-proper subset over identical diversity |
| 1479 | chann | els; |
| 1480 | a LEGO adaptation e | lement and algorithm; |

1481 a network controller element and algorithm; 1482 whereby each node in a first non-proper subset transmits independent information 1483 to one or more receiving nodes belonging to a second non-proper subset that are 1484 viewable from the transmitting node; 1485 each receiving node in said second non-proper subset processes independently 1486 information transmitted to a from one or more nodes in a first non-proper subset is 1487 independently by the receiving node; 1488 each node uses means to minimize SINR between nodes transmitting and 1489 receiving information; 1490 the network is designed such that substantially reciprocal symmetry exists for the 1491 uplink and downlink channels by, 1492 if the received interference is spatially white in both link directions, setting $g_1(aq) \propto W^*_2q$ and $g_2(q) \propto W^*_1(q)$ 1493 $\mathbf{g}_2(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_2^*(q)$ and $\mathbf{g}_1(q) \propto \mathbf{w}_1^*(q)$ at both ends of the link, 1494 where $\{\mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{w}_1(\mathbf{q})\}$ $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q), \mathbf{w}_1(q)\}$ are the linear transmit 1495 1496 and receive weights used in the downlink; 1497 1498 but if the received interference is not spatially white in both link directions, constraining $\{g_1(q)\}\$ and $\{g_2(q)\}\$ 1499 $\{\mathbf{g}_1(q)\}$ and $\{\mathbf{g}_2(q)\}$ to satisfy: 1500 Q24 1501 $\sum_{g}^{T} (q) R_{i+1} [n_1(q)] g *_1(q) =$ 1502 1503 1504 $\sum Tr\{R_{i+i+}(n)\} = M_iR_{i+1}$ 1505 1506 <u>n=1</u>

 Q_{12} $\sum_{q=1}^{Q_{21}} \mathbf{g}_1^T(q) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_1} (n_1(q)) \mathbf{g}_1^*(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \operatorname{Tr} \{ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_1} (n) \} = M_1 R_1$ $\overline{(q))\mathbf{g}_{2}^{*}(q)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\{\mathbf{R}_{i_{2}i}\}$ the network uses any standard communications protocol; and, the network is optimized by dynamically adapting the means for diversity transmission and reception diversity ehannels between nodes of said transmitting and receiving subsets. 114. (currently amended) A wireless electromagnetic communications network as in claim 112 <u>113</u>: wherein each node may further comprise a Butler Mode Forming element, to enable said node to ratchet the number of active antennae for a particular uplink or downlink operation up or down.

1532 115. (currently amended) A wireless electromagnetic communications network as in 1533 claim 50 101: 1534 incorporating a dynamics-resistant multitone element. 1535 1536 1537 116. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for fixed wireless 1538 electromagnetic communications. 1539 1540 117. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for 1541 fixed wireless electromagnetic communications. 1542 1543 118. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for mobile wireless 1544 electromagnetic communications. 1545 1546 119. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for 1547 mobile wireless electromagnetic communications. 1548 1549 120. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for mapping operations using 1550 wireless electromagnetic communications. 1551 1552 121. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for 1553 mapping operations using wireless electromagnetic communications. 1554 1555 122. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for a military wireless 1556 electromagnetic communications network. 1557 1558 123. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a 1559 military wireless electromagnetic communications network. 1560 1561 124. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for a military wireless 1562 electromagnetic communications network for battlefield operations.

1563 1564 125. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a 1565 military wireless electromagnetic communications network for battlefield operations. 1566 1567 126. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for a military wireless 1568 electromagnetic communications network for Back Edge of Battle Area (BEBA) 1569 operations. 1570 1571 127. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a military 1572 wireless electromagnetic communications network for Back Edge of Battle Area (BEBA) 1573 operations.. 1574 1575 128. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for a wireless electromagnetic 1576 communications network for intruder detection operations. 1577 1578 129. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a wireless 1579 electromagnetic communications network for intruder detection operations. 1580 1581 130. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 for a wireless electromagnetic 1582 communications network for logistical intercommunications. 1583 1584 131. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a wireless 1585 electromagnetic communications network for logistical intercommunications. 1586 1587 132. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1588 communications network for self-filtering spoofing signals. 1589 1590 133. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a wireless 1591 electromagnetic communications network for self-filtering spoofing signals. 1592

- 1593 134. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 in a wireless
- 1594 electromagnetic communications network for airborne relay over the horizon.

- 1596 135. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim $\frac{50}{101}$ for a wireless
- 1597 electromagnetic communications network for airborne relay over the horizon.

1598

- 1599 136. (original) The use of a method as described in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic
- 1600 communications network for traffic control.

1601

- 1602 137. (currently amended) The use of a method as in claim 166 1, further comprising
- the use thereof for air traffic control.

1604

- 1605 138. (currently amended) The use of a method as in claim 166 1, further comprising
- the use thereof for ground traffic control.

1607

- 1608 139. (currently amended) The use of a method as in claim 166 1, further comprising
- the use thereof for a mixture of ground and air traffic control.

1610

- 1611 140. (original) The use of an apparatus as described in claim 50 101 for a wireless
- electromagnetic communications network for traffic control.

1613

- 1614 141. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 170 101, further
- 1615 comprising the use thereof for air traffic control

1616

- 1617 142. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 170 101, further
- 1618 comprising the use thereof for ground traffic control.

1619

- 1620 143. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 170 101, further
- 1621 comprising the use thereof for a mixture of ground and air traffic control.

1623 144. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1624 communications network for emergency services. 1625 1626 145. (original) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wireless electromagnetic 1627 communications network for emergency services. 1628 1629 146. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1630 communications network for shared emergency communications without interference. 1631 1632 147. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wireless 1633 electromagnetic communications network for shared emergency communications without 1634 interference. 1635 1636 148. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1637 communications network for positioning operations without interference. 1638 1639 149. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 ± 101 in a wireless 1640 electromagnetic communications network for positioning operations without interference. 1641 1642 150. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1643 communications network for high reliabilty networks requiring graceful degradation 1644 despite environmental conditions or changes... 1645 1646 151. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wireless 1647 electromagnetic communications network for high reliabilty networks requiring graceful 1648 degradation despite environmental conditions or changes... 1649 1650 152. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1651 communications network for a secure network requiring assurance against unauthorized 1652 intrusion.

1654 153. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1655 communications network for a secure network requiring message end-point assurance. 1656 1657 154. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless electromagnetic 1658 communications network for a secure network requiring assurance against unauthorized 1659 intrusion and message end-point assurance. 1660 1661 155. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wireless 1662 electromagnetic communications network for a secure network requiring assurance 1663 against unauthorized intrusion. 1664 1665 156. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim $50 \frac{101}{100}$ in a wireless 1666 electromagnetic communications network for a secure network requiring message end-1667 point assurance. 1668 1669 157. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 ± 101 in a wireless 1670 electromagnetic communications network for a secure network requiring assurance 1671 against unauthorized intrusion and message end-point assurance. 1672 1673 1674 158. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a cellular mobile radio service. 1675 1676 159. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a cellular 1677 mobile radio service. 1678 1679 160. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a personal communication service. 1680 1681 161. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a personal

4. 4.

1682

1683

communication service.

1684 162. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a private mobile radio service.

163. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a private mobile radio service. 164. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wireless LAN. 165. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wireless LAN. 166. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a fixed wireless access service. 167. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a fixed wireless access service. 168. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a broadband wireless access service. 169. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a broadband wireless access service. 170. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a municipal area network. 171. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a municipal area network. 172. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in a wide area network. 173. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in a wide area network. 174. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in wireless backhaul.

175. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in wireless backhaul. 176. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in wireless backhaul. 177. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in wireless backhaul. 178. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in wireless SONET. 179. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in wireless SONET. 180-181. (Cancelled) 182. (original) The use of a method as in claim 1 in wireless Telematics.

183. (currently amended) The use of an apparatus as in claim 50 101 in wireless

Telematics.